



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/663,891	09/18/2000	Robert Chojnacki	N0064US	4137
37583 7	10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGIES			MOISE, EMMANUEL LIONEL	
222 MERCHANDISE MART SUITE 900, PATENT DEPT.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	L 60654		2136	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



<u> </u>			\wedge
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	IJ
Office Action Comments	09/663,891	CHOJNACKI, ROBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emmanuel L. Moise	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 C	October 2002.		
<u></u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2, 4, and 5. 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-39 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,768,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present application and '942 patent relate to systems and methods for distributing geographic data to end users for use their navigation systems. They both disclose that the geographic data may be divided into a first portion and a second portion. The first portion may comprise critical information, such as decompression parameters, indexes and other global parameters, that enables access to the second portion, so as to allow the navigation system to provide navigation services for a user. The only difference is that in the present application it is claimed that the first portion and the second portion of data products are maintained at separate locations. Such a difference, however, would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

the time the invention was made since it is well known in the art that storing portions of an information at different locations makes the information and for that matter the system more secure.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reference 6,131,066 (Ahrens et al.) discloses a system and method for distributing information for storage media.

Reference 6,151,624 (Teare et al.) discloses navigation network resources based on metadata.

Reference 6,199,045 (Giniger et al.) discloses a method and apparatus for providing position-related information to mobile recipients.

Reference 6,289,276 ((Ahrens et al.) discloses a system and method for distributing information for storage media.

Reference 6,314,409 (Schneck et al.) discloses a system for controlling access and distribution of digital property.

Reference 6,408,307 (Semple et al.) discloses systems and methods for remotely accessing a selected group of items of interest from a database.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel L. Moise whose telephone number is (703)305-9763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (9:30-6:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (703)305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel L. Moise Primary Examiner Art Unit 2136